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Abstract

Internal Revenue Tax is used to be the primary source of revenue or income of the local government units (LGUs) that the national government gives proportional shares to be used as public funds. This paper aims to discuss and look into innovative reforms and practices that lead to financial stability. The discussion evolves on the public fiscal administration specifically on the local income and revenues collected by LGUs, fund management practices, government enterprise operations, and best practices in revenue generation. Local taxation is beneficial that contributes to deliver the services for education, health, livelihood and employment, peace and order, environmental protection, socialized housing, economic development, and local governance and administration. Paying taxes at the local level helps in maintaining the support given of the local government to its people for their needs.
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1. Introduction

Local government unit (LGU) focuses on the program of good governance, economic development, and public fiscal administration that is valuable and beneficial to the community, businesses, and welfare of the people. The main tasks of the local and national government were the maintenance of law and order and the collection of taxes. The LGUs with respect to financial management were authorized to create income and were given the power to enhance their financial resources by allowing them to increase tax rates.

LGUs are authorized to exercise its power to create sources of income or revenue such as taxes, regulatory fees, and charges subjected to the policy of local autonomy (Sec.129, LGC). Local autonomy, on the other hand, is defined as a stage or phase of choice implemented or applied by the LGU in relation to the national government (Reyes et al., 2015. p. 382). There are 81 provinces, 145 cities, 1489 municipalities, and 42,036 barangays in the Philippines which imposed local taxes, regulatory, and other fees and charges as authorized by law to support their own local projects and activities (DILG, 2015). By giving them the power to enhance their financial resources, their share of the Internal Revenue Allotment, represented by the shares of provinces, cities, municipalities, and barangays from the total collection of national taxes, was increased on staggered basis from 20% to 40%, i.e., 30% on the 1st year of the implementation of municipalities, and barangays from the total collection of national taxes was increased on staggered basis from 20% to 40%, i.e., 30% on the 1st year of the implementation of the LGC in 1992, 35% on the 2nd year in 1993, and 40% on the succeeding year in 1994 and beyond (Bautista et al., 2003. p. 359).
Conducting studies, researches, and training programs related to planning and implementing development programs, analyzing income and expenditure patterns, and formulating fiscal plans and management are part of these development and democratization goals.

In addition, the paper aims to discuss and look into innovative reforms and practices that lead to financial stability. The discussion evolves on the Public Fiscal Administration specifically on the local income and revenues collected by LGUs, fund management practices, government enterprise operations, and best practices in revenue generation.

2. Local Income and Revenues Collected by LGUs

Internal revenue tax is used to be the primary source of revenue or income of the LGUs that the national government gives proportional shares to be used as public funds (Reyes et al., 2015, p. 387). Tax is defined as obligatory shares or contributions coming from a persons or property impose by law to support the local and national government and for the public needs (De Vera, 2013). Business taxes are taxes enforced by LGU on the privilege of engaging in business, occupation, and other activities within its territorial jurisdiction or control (Local Treasury Operations Manual [LTOM], 2007). Accordingly, the proceeds of these taxes accrue exclusively to the LGU that imposes them or, when the time given by law, may be shared with other LGUs (LTOM, 2007).

The province, municipality, and city may impose a tax on the business of persons engaged in the printing and publication of books, cards, posters, leaflets, handbills, certificates, receipts, pamphlets, and others of similar nature, at a rate not exceeding 50% of 1% of the gross annual receipts for the preceding calendar year. In the case of a newly started business, the tax shall not exceed one-twentieth (1/20) of 1% of the capital investment. In the succeeding calendar year, regardless of when the business started to operate, the tax shall be based on the gross receipts for the preceding calendar year, or any fraction thereof, as provided herein. The receipts from the printing and/or publishing of books or other reading materials prescribed by the department of education, culture, and sports as school texts or references shall be exempt from the tax herein imposed (Sec. 136, LGC).

The municipality may impose the taxes on the following businesses operating within its jurisdiction: (1) Manufacturers, assemblers, repackers, processors, brewers, distillers, rectifiers, and compounders of liquors, distilled spirits, and wines or manufacturers of any article of commerce of whatever kind or nature (Sec. 143 [a], LGC); (2) wholesalers, distributors, or dealers in any article of commerce of whatever kind of nature (Sec. 143 [b], LGC); (3) exporters and, on manufacturer, millers, producers, wholesalers, distributors, dealers, or retailers of essential commodities (Sec. 143 [c], LGC); (4) retailers (Sec. 143 [d], LGC); (5) contractors and other independent contractors (Sec. 143 [e], LGC); (6) banks and other financial institutions (Sec. 143, LGC); (7) peddlers engaged in the sale of any merchandise or article of commerce (Sec. 143 [g], LGC); and (8) any business not otherwise specified in the above enumeration (Sec. 143 [h], LGC).

Except as otherwise provided in LGC of 1991, the city may levy taxes, fees, and charges in which the province or municipality may impose: Provided, however, that the taxes, fees, and charges levied and collected by highly urbanized and independent component cities shall accrue to them and distributed in accordance with the provisions of LGC of 1991.

The rates of taxes that the city may levy may exceed the maximum rates allowed for the province or municipality by not more than 50% except the rates of professional and amusement tax. The city may levy and collect a percentage tax on any business not otherwise specified under paragraphs (a) to (g) of Section 143 of LGC at rates not exceeding 3% of the gross sales or receipts of the preceding calendar year (Art. 237, IRR implementing Sec. 151, LGC). Taxes on stores or retailers with fixed business establishments with gross sales or receipts of the preceding calendar year of Php 50,000.00 or less. In the case of a barangay within a city and thirty thousand Pesos (Php 30,000.00) or less. In the case of a barangay within a municipality at rates not exceeding 1% on such gross sales or receipts (Arts. 232 (d) and 240, IRR implementing Secs. 143 [d] and 152, LGC, respectively).

The taxes imposed under Section 143 of LGC shall be payable for every separate or distinct establishment or place where business subject to tax is conducted and one line of business does not
become exempt by being conducted with some other businesses for which such tax has been paid. The tax on business must be paid by the person conducting the same (Sec. 146, LGC). The conduct or operation of two or more related businesses by any one person, natural or juridical, shall require the issuance of a separate permit or license to each business (Art. 242 [a], IRR implementing Sec. 146 [a], LGC).

3. Fund Management Practices

Pursuant to good governance of local funds, it is mandatory on the local fiscal managers or other fiscal officers to embrace and apply effective fund management practices benchmarked from the private sectors or industries in the daily operations of LGUs. Good governance is viewed as the exercise of economic, political, and administrative authority to manage a country’s local government’s affairs at all levels that comprise mechanisms, processes, and institutions in which citizens and groups articulate their interests, exercise their rights, meet their obligations, and intervene their differences (LTOM, 2007).

Good governance is about efficiency in the administration of public funds, with demanding high standards of integrity, transparency, and accountability. the local fiscal managers or local treasurers need continuously update their knowledge and skills on their specific area of good governance which is fund or cash management. Cash management is very important for the local fiscal managers or local treasurers such that cash is available when it is needed for the payment of obligations and at the same time optimize its utilization, ensure that released allotments are adequately covered by available cash and/or future collections, and provide information to facilitate the control of expenditures (LTOM, 2007).

An effective cash management may be achieved through the following: (1) Forecasting cash requirements based on the overall local development plans; (2) determining all the possible sources of cash and the cost involved; (3) accelerating the collection of receivables; (4) instituting control measures over cash receipts; (5) instituting control measures over cash disbursements thereby being assured that only legitimate obligations are paid; and (6) investing temporarily idle cash in temporary investments to reduce the opportunity cost of capital (LTOM, 2007).

4. Government Enterprise Operations

LGUs shall enjoy full autonomy in the exercise of their proprietary functions and management of their economic enterprise and subject to the limitations provided in the LGC of 1991 and other applicable laws (LTOM, 2007). Every LGU created or recognized under RA 7160 is a body of politic and corporate endowed with powers to be exercised by it in conformity with law. As such, it shall exercise powers as a political subdivision of the National Government and as a corporate entity representing the inhabitants of its territory (Sec. 15, LGC).

Among the government enterprises known to have been established and operated by LGUs are the following: (a) Beach houses; (b) coliseums; (c) cold storage plants; (d) communication and transportation facilities; (e) cultural centers; (f) electric power plants; (g) ferries; (h) food terminal markets; (i) health resorts; (j) hospitals; (k) irrigation systems; (l) lease of equipment and machinery; (m) low-cost housing and other dwelling projects; (n) markets; (o) multi-purpose hall, multi-purpose pavements and plazas; (p) public cemeteries; (q) radio stations; (r) sports complexes and sports facilities; (s) telephone systems; (t) toll roads and bridges; (u) tourism facilities and other tourist attractions; (v) waterworks systems; (w) wharves; and (x) water supply systems (Sec. 270, LTOM).

The participation of the private sector in local governance, particularly in the delivery of basic services, shall be encouraged to ensure the viability of local autonomy as an alternative strategy for sustainable development (Sec. 3 [l], LGC).

To ensure the active participation of the private sector in local governance, LGUs may, by ordinance, sell, lease, encumber, or otherwise dispose of public economic enterprises owned by them in their proprietary capacity (Sec. 17 [j], LGC).
5. Best Practices in Revenue Generation

The devolution of powers has made the LGUs more responsible and accountable in their public financial management, and during this transition period, LGUs became more operative and able to operate on their own through innovation in financial opportunities based on the new legislation that created (LTOM, 2007).

The Business Permit and Licensing Office (BPLO) is a department in a LGU that is responsible in regulating the granting of licenses and permits in doing business activities in the city or municipality. BPLO in Muntinlupa is known for its Single-Window Transaction (SWiT) - Modified Business One-Stop Shop (MBOSS) program from 12 steps to 6 steps down to 3 steps to 1 step. The City Government of Muntinlupa keeps in doing business more convenient, more efficient, simpler, and faster (Figure 1).

Before this new program, registering a business in Muntinlupa was a time-consuming process and effort due to few steps and procedures for obtaining a permit and license. Going to different departments at the City Hall of Muntinlupa from first floor to second floor and going back to another building where the taxpayer need to transact to different offices made unpleasant and unlikable for the taxpayer.

In Muntinlupa City, the previous procedure for securing a business permit composed of 12 steps with required several signatories and took 2 weeks before getting your permit and license (BPLO, 2015). The taxpayer had to take a tour within the City Hall for their business permit or application to be processed. Due to this long and time-consuming process, the City Government of Muntinlupa then piloted the Business One-Stop Shop or BOSS in the late 1990s during the business permit renewal in January together with BPLO, City Treasurer’s Office, Zoning Office, and Building (Figure 2).

Office, Health Office, and other offices housed together under one roof for the convenience of taxpayers. By this initiative, Muntinlupa City awarded the 2017 Most Business-Friendly LGU Award from Philippine Chamber of Commerce and Industry under the leadership of Mayor Atty. Jaime R. Fresnedi. The advantages of doing or putting up a business in Muntinlupa is very advantageous compared to other cities, especially in the prescribed low tax rates in the business taxes, real property taxes, and franchise fees. Muntinlupa as awarded as Business-Friendly City is currently doing the MBOSS under the BPLO in transacting time-efficient renewal and application of business permits and licenses.

In the year 2013, the BOSS system was trim into three steps. The steps were simplified to streamline the process which shortened the processing time to 30 min only and to ensure the sustainability of the MBOSS, Executive Order No. 15 that was signed by Mayor Atty, Jaime R. Fresnedi on May 2, 2014 (BPLO, 2015). In 2015, from 3 steps down to 1, the City Government of Muntinlupa introduced

Figure 1: Single Window Transaction

Source: Muntinlupa Business Permits and Licensing Office, Answering the Call to Streamline Processes thru Innovation, 2016, Single-Window Transaction (SWiT) - Modified Business One-Stop Shop (MBOSS) Program
MBOSS-SWiT, which is more convenient, more efficient, simpler, and faster and awarded by various international and local agencies (Figure 3).

The SWiT-MBOSS is among the systems and processes of the City Government of Muntinlupa certified as compliant with the standards of ISO 9001:2008. This standard is also captured in Citizen’s Charter, as required by Republic Act (R.A.) 9485, also known as the Anti-Red Tape Act of 2007. SWiT was similarly institutionalized through Executive Order No. 14 which was executed on October 02\(^{st}\), 2015.

The SWiT-MBOSS is a practice that has evolved over time to include innovative features, foremost of which is the single-window component. As described earlier, the initial Business One-Stop Shop system adapted and implemented by the Muntinlupa City Government in the 1990s originally consisted of 12 steps until it was eventually simplified to a mere 3 in the year 2013.

**Figure 2:** Business One-Stop Shop

![Business One-Stop Shop](image1)

Source: Muntinlupa Business Permits and Licensing Office, Answering the Call to Streamline Processes thru Innovation, 2016, Business One-Stop Shop (BOSS) Initial Implementation

**Figure 3:** Modified BOSS (MBOSS)
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Source: Muntinlupa Business Permits and Licensing Office, Answering the Call to Streamline Processes thru Innovation, 2016, Modified BOSS (MBOSS)
With the SWiT innovative feature, the Business Permits and Licensing Office of the City of Muntinlupa have demonstrated that red tape can be reduced significantly down to a level where it is practically non-existent. The result is an efficient system that encourages business growth and promotes transparency and good governance toward achieving better service delivery for the benefit of citizens.

The Business Permit and Licensing System (BPLS) of DILG streamlining of BPLS in LGU, which adopts a unified form reduces a number of authorized signatories, limits the number of steps in securing business permits and licenses, and reduces processing time through automation (DILG BPLS, 2015).

6. Conclusions

Administration is a world of deciding. In public organizations, what is efficient may, in fact, be defined in terms of both fairness and costs and benefits. Understanding that what is fair is often socially defined and that cost-benefit calculations are difficult to conceptualize owing to bias in information processing may help to resolve debates about what is “efficient.”

The LGC of 1991 as important guidelines in every LGU serves as a tool for effective mechanisms to strengthened and upgrade the quality of local governance, local leadership, and public fiscal administration particularly in the purpose of delivering basic services for national development (Sec.3, LGC). The major changes in local governance and fiscal organization were instituted with the enactment of R.A. 7160. There is also a major shift in the relationship of the national government and local governments when this act was passed. The new framework for governance from powers, functions, and responsibilities from the national government to LGUs was transferred (LTOM, 2007).

The local taxation in LGU as benefit is favorable for the welfare of the people as well as with the businesses. Paying taxes at the local level helps in maintaining the support given of the local government to its people for their needs. Local taxation is beneficial that contributes to deliver services for education, health, livelihood and employment, peace and order, environmental protection, socialized housing, economic development, and to local governance and administration.

The SWiT of the City Government of Muntinlupa as procedure and process is favorable to business taxpayers, to attract more investors with the goals of excellent service, transparent government, and strategic geographical location.
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